

Helping

People

Help the

Land

Implementing the 2014 Farm Bill

NASCA Annual Meeting Whitefish, MT

Kevin Norton

State Conservationist Louisiana

Overview

- Looking back
- How we got here
- Opportunities
- What does the future hold



U. S. D. A. SERVICE CEN 157

Looking Back

-	1985	1990	1996	2002	2008	2014
	HELC WC CRP Theme: USDA Benefits carry an agreement for conservation	Compliance clean-up CFO Farmland and Wetland Protection Pilot Theme: Stay the compliance course and explore innovation in coordinating farm-level program delivery	EQIP WHIP FPP WRP CCC Funding Theme: Update conservation funding and delivery by setting priorities for resources and delivery.	Increased investment CSP GRP FRPP HFRP Theme: Funding was woefully inadequate, priority areas were leaving needs unmet, and there needed to be a broader look non-cropland.	Increased Investment CStP Watershed rehab CCPI AWEP Theme: All conservation programs should be more broadly available and partners are important.	Decreased Investment -\$6b Consolidation 23-11 ACEP RCPP Theme: Budget driving reduced Federal spending. Smart cuts while maintaining past gains and create
r						

Soil Water Air Plants Animals People Soil Water Air Plants Animals Helping People Help'thels Land



Natural Resources Conservation Service

opportunity.



Looking Back

- OUR Partnership's Success
 - HELC and Wetland Compliance (determinations and implementation)
 - Coped with issues of remaining relevant (no-funding and shifting of staff)
 - Prioritization could work successes
 - Elevated opportunities lost because of lack of funding
 - Adapted to changing agriculture landscape



Looking Back

- OUR Partnership's Success
 - Innovative thinking for voluntary, incentive based and locally-led conservation
 - Viable options to regulatory enforcement
 - Embracing new partnerships (listening, education, and cultivating)
 - Expanding the reach beyond traditional conservation (ACP, GPCP, WQIP, etc.)
 - Maintaining client privilege



Natural Resources Conservation Service

Plants Animals People Soil Water Air Plants Animals Helping People Help the Land



How we got here

- Deficit Reduction Act (Super Committee)
- All authorizing committees were to make cuts.
- Reductions across titles
- Money titles Commodity, Conservation, Nutrition, Insurance
- Agriculture was prospering and expanding



How we got here

- Broad support for conservation
- Success in delivery
- Landscape conservation initiatives demonstrated leadership
- Partner advocacy
- Opportunity to generate savings through consolidation
- Create opportunity to fill the funding gap





How we get here

- Growing world population
- Increased agriculture exports
- Advances in technology
- Threat of greater regulation
- Increased oversight, expectations, and performance = accountability





Opportunities

- Conservation Reserve Program
 - Opportunity to focus to marginal landscapes
 ---continuous sign-up, CREP, SAFE,
 - Incorporated managed grasslands 2m acres
 - One time early-out for 2015
 - Management flexibility for beneficial use
 - Opened opportunity for management on tree plantings - thinning
 - Incidental use of buffers allowed





- Conservation Stewardship Program
 - 10 million acres/year
 - Removed the Conservation Measurement
 Tool as a requirement.
 - More local identification of resources issues
 - Need to expand activities to reflect local needs
 - Demonstrate results





- Environmental Quality Incentives Program
 - Emphasis on locally driven resource needs
 - Clearly incorporates wildlife habitat as a program objective
 - Increased payment limitation (fixed 5)
 - The program is recognized for the ability to adapt to resource issues across the U.S.



- Agricultural Conservation Easement Program
 - Combines the easement functions of:
 - FRPP and GRP into ALE (partner)
 - WRP into WRE (federal)
 - Smaller total program
 - States work with the any or all that fit the resource need
 - Prioritize to the most critical need integrating the programs into a working agricultural landscape
 - WRE 24 month ownership and waive county cap for class IV-VII, subclass "w"



- Regional Conservation Partnership Program
 - Combined CCPI, AWEP, Great Lakes and Chesapeake Bay
 - Covered Programs CSP, EQIP, ACEP, HFRP +\$100m/yr. and PL-566 in CCA's
 - 7% contribution from covered programs
 - 8 Critical Conservation Areas
 - State (25%), National (40%), and CCAs (35%)



- Regional Conservation Partnership Program
 - Expand opportunities to bring more resources to conservation – not redivide the pot
 - Does not remove authority for landscape initiatives (only MRBI operated under CCPI)
 - Does not limit STC's ability to work locally on priorities and/or with partners



- Regional Conservation Partnership Program
 - Balanced selection process
 - Solutions
 - Participation
 - Contribution
 - Innovation
 - Entity must have demonstrated working relationship with producers
 - Partners must contribute significantly



- We have the ability to deliver meaningful and relevant conservation practices at the local level
- We have to be willing to lead local conservation – resource/problem solving conservation
- Engage within the broader conservation community





- We must increase efficiency in delivery of technically sound conservation
- Increased technical capacity will have to come from the partners
- Complacency and comfort are the enemy of the good. (Not just perfection)





What the future holds?

- Appropriations and sequestration
- Regulatory influence
- Environment for leadership and success
- Conservation laboratory in action
 - Voluntary, incentive based conservation works
 - Leadership in solving problems by helping producers adapt modern conservation to modern farming
 - Innovate and demonstrate





What the future holds?

- All agriculture and forest landscapes/producers will experience increased pressure
- The 2018-2020 Farm Bill will be an even greater challenge
 - Budget cutting
 - Perceived wealth
 (land, value, efficiency)
 - Loss of agriculture influence/representation (engaged and legislatively





What the future holds?

- Continue to build the conservation chorus
 - District leadership
 - State government and politicals
 - Producers
 - Partners
 - Benefiting communities







The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program (not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.